	Case 3:08-cv-05528-RS	Document 8	Filed 05/11/09	Page 1 of 7
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	NO	T EOD CITA	TION	
9	NOT FOR CITATION  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	TOR THE WORTH	LICI DISTIC	er or erith o	KI VIII
12	JEFFREY WAYNE ROAM,	) N	o. C 08-05528 JI	F(PR)
13	Plaintiff,	)	RDER OF SERV	
14	VS.	) D ) Fl	IRECTING DEF	ENDANTS TO VE MOTION OR
15			OTICE REGAR IOTION	DING SUCH
16	BEN CURRY, et al.,	)		
17	Defendants.	}		
18	Distraction - California anisana	1:	6:1. 1 41 :	
19	Plaintiff, a California prisoner proceeding <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> , filed the instant civil rights			
20   21	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against officials of the Correctional Training Facility			
22	- Soledad ("CTF"). Plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> will be granted in a separate written order.			
23	granted in a separate written order.			
24		DISCUSSIO	)N	
25	A. Standard of Review			
26	A federal court must conduct	a preliminary	screening in any	case in which a
27	prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a			
28	governmental entity. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify			
	Order of Service P-\PRO-SE\SLIFCR 08\Roam05528, svc, wnd	1		

1 | an 2 | cl 3 | in 4 | li

any cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See id. § 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro se pleadings must, however, be liberally construed. See Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. See West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

#### B. Plaintiff's Claims

Plaintiff claims that his Eighth Amendment right against cruel and unusual punishment was violated when CTF officials denied him adequate clothing, access to showers and outdoor exercise from November 20, 2007 to August 15, 2008 while on a lockdown program. (Pet. 5-6.) Liberally construed, Plaintiff presents a cognizable claim under § 1983. See Farmer v. Brennan, 511 U.S. 825, 832 (1994).

Defendant "Clothing Manager" is dismissed from the complaint without prejudice until Plaintiff identifies this individual by name through discovery at which point he may seek leave to amend to add the named defendant as a party to this action. See Gillespie v. Civiletti, 629 F.2d 637, 642 (9th Cir. 1980)

#### C. Request to Amend

Plaintiff requests to amend his complaint to add a claim that Defendants are forcing him to be double-celled. (Docket No. 5.) Plaintiff admits in the attached proposed amended complaint that the inmate appeal grieving this claim was "screened out" at the first level. (<u>Id.</u> at 2.)

The Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-134, 110 Stat. 1321 (1996) ("PLRA") provides: "No action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under [42 U.S.C. § 1983], or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available

are exhausted." 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a). Exhaustion is mandatory and not left to the			
discretion of the district court. Woodford v. Ngo, 548 U.S. 81, 84 (2006). Exhaustion is			
a prerequisite to all prisoner lawsuits concerning prison life, whether such actions involve			
general conditions or particular episodes, whether they allege excessive force or some			
other wrong, and even if they seek relief not available in grievance proceedings, such as			
money damages. Porter v. Nussle, 534 U.S. 516, 524 (2002). The exhaustion			
requirement requires "proper exhaustion" of all available administrative remedies. Ngo,			
548 U.S. at 93. Because exhaustion under § 1997e(a) is an affirmative defense, a			
complaint may be dismissed for failure to exhaust only if failure to exhaust is obvious			
from the face of the complaint and/or any attached exhibits. Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d			
1108, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2003). The Court may dismiss a complaint for failure to exhaust			
where the prisoner "conce[des] to nonexhaustion" and "no exception to exhaustion			
applies." Id. at 1120. Here, Plaintiff concedes in his proposed amended complaint that			
he has not exhausted his administrative remedies, (Docket No. 5 at 2), and no exception			
to exhaustion is alleged or apparent in the complaint. He states that the "issue was			
presented responsibly to the appeals dept. but due to the complexity of the problem the			
appeals coordinator screened it out." (Id.) According to the "Screening at the FIRST			
Level" notice, the appeal was screened out for the following: "This appeal constitutes an			
abuse of the appeal process pursuant to CCR 3084.4. Your appeal cannot be understood			
or is obscured by pointless verbiage or voluminous unrelated documentation CCR			
3084(c)." (Id., Ex. A.) This does not excuse the PLRA's requirement of "proper			
exhaustion" under Ngo: "Proper exhaustion demands compliance with an agency's			
deadlines and other critical procedural rules because no adjudicative system can function			
effectively without imposing some orderly structure on the course of its proceedings."			
548 U.S. at 90-91 (footnote omitted).			

Furthermore, if the Court permitted the amendment, the result would be a mixed complaint, *i.e.*, a complaint with both exhausted and unexhausted claims. Then the Court would simply dismiss this unexhausted claim, which is not intertwined with the properly

exhausted claims, and proceed only with the exhausted claims. See Lira v. Herrera, 427 F.3d 1164, 1175-76 (9th Cir. 2005). To avoid the unnecessary delay this would cause, Plaintiff's motion to amend is DENIED. Plaintiff may pursue this claim in a separate action after he has properly exhausted all his administrative remedies. CONCLUSION For the reasons stated above, the Court orders as follows: 1. Defendant "Clothing Manager" is DISMISSED without prejudice from this action. The clerk shall terminate this defendant from the docket. 2. The Clerk of the Court shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the complaint in this matter, all attachments thereto, and a copy of this order upon Warden Ben Curry and Correctional Officer Deverick, and at Correctional Training Facility - Soledad. The Clerk shall also mail courtesy copies of the Complaint and this order to the California Attorney General's Office. 3. No later than **sixty** (60) **days** from the date of this order, Defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the claims in the complaint found to be cognizable above, or, within such time, notify the Court that

- Defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by such a motion.
- If Defendants elect to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds that a. Plaintiff failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), Defendants shall do so in an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion pursuant to Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2003), cert. denied Alameida v. Terhune, 540 U.S. 810 (2003).
- b. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If any Defendant is of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, he shall so inform the Court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.

- 4. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court and served on Defendants no later than **thirty** (**30**) **days** from the date Defendants' motion is filed.
- a. In the event Defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b), Plaintiff is hereby cautioned as follows:<sup>1</sup>

The Defendants have made a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the ground you have not exhausted your administrative remedies. The motion will, if granted, result in the dismissal of your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, and that motion is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony) and/or documents, you may not simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or documents, that contradict the facts shown in the Defendant's declarations and documents and show that you have in fact exhausted your claims. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, the motion to dismiss, if appropriate, may be granted and the case dismissed.

b. In the event Defendants file a motion for summary judgment, the Ninth Circuit has held that the following notice should be given to Plaintiffs:

The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The following notice is adapted from the summary judgment notice to be given to pro se prisoners as set forth in <u>Rand v. Rowland</u>, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). <u>See Wyatt v. Terhune</u>, 315 F.3d at 1120 n.14.

in favor of defendants, your case will be dismissed and there will be no

trial.

See Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). Plaintiff is advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence showing triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to file an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment may be deemed to be a consent by Plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and granting of judgment against plaintiff without a trial. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52,

- 5. Defendants <u>shall</u> file a reply brief no later than **fifteen** (**15**) **days** after Plaintiff's opposition is filed.
- 6. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.

53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); <u>Brydges v. Lewis</u>, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

- 7. All communications by the Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendants, or Defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to Defendants or Defendants' counsel.
- 8. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No further Court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.
- 9. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>4/20/09</u>

JEREMY FOGI

United States District Judge

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEFFREY ROAM,	Case Number: CV08-05528 JF		
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
V.			
BEN CURRY, et al.,			
Defendants.	/		
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that Court, Northern District of California	I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District		
	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into ated in the Clerk's office.		
Jeffrey Wayne Roam C-80656 C.T.F. Central D Wing 235 P.O. Box 689 Soledad, CA 93960			
Dated: 5/11/09			